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Introduction

How do you have a conversation with someone about going from Point A to Point B if you don’t agree as to what Point A is? That’s what this Little Book is about. This Little Book is about being able to think and talk clearly about church planting movements. What is Point A, what is Point B, and how do we in Boston, working together as co-workers in the Great Commission, get to Point B, then to Point C, etc. That requires mutual understanding and agreement that can only come from a common
and adequate language. This Little Book suggests further language for the church planting movement task.

God’s will, this writer believes as probably you do, is that everyone in Boston be in a life-enhancing Jesus-permeated, Kingdom-of-God-on-earth-permeated community of faith. Working collaboratively with the pastoral task (the continued growth and vitality of Jesus-permeated, Kingdom-of-God-permeated churches already in Boston), the apostolic task is to further make God’s overall purpose happen as much as possible. The apostolic task is done by multiplying churches, by multiplying church planting movements, in and out from Boston, year after year after year, enfolding more and more Bostonians into gospel-life-filled communities.

This Little Book is one of five Little Books I have written to date, each speaking to some aspect of that apostolic endeavor as I understand it. This Little Book’s specific purpose is to develop a more adequate apostolic language to better enable the apostolic task to be done. The apostolic task can’t best be done apart from clear thinking and clear communication by those called to further the task. Until participants can clearly think through what the apostolic task is, and can clearly speak their thoughts to others engaging the task; until we have a common understanding of what we are talking about when we talk about biblical, Book of Acts apostolicity, we won’t be able to fully do the apostolic ministry in Boston that we want to successfully do.

This Little Book needs a particular word of explanation. Each of the five Little Books so far available in paper and on the web are perhaps more like five chapters of the single larger book I am writing, each of the five being one of those chapters. That Bigger Book will be about apostolic ministry in presumably all its aspects. Each Little Book finished so far speaks to one aspect of the apostolic task. At this point the key Little Book published is the one entitled Let’s Do It – multiplying churches now. This Little Book in your hand will make more sense if read in conjunction with the Let’s Do It one. That one is based on and grows out of what might conceivably be seen as a modest church planting movement in and out from Boston of some 40 years’ duration, begun with a single church plant in Boston in 1971 and leading in some fashion to perhaps 285 churches by 2012. That story, visualized in graph form at the end of this Little Book, underlies all the Little Books and particularly this one. So I encourage you to read Let’s Do It in conjunction with reading this Little Book about vocabulary. That story of a Boston-based church planting movement done by many people and many groups and denominations over four decades has awakened in this writer’s mind the absolute need for clearer, fuller language if church multiplication is to be more broadly embraced, more wisely engaged and accelerated and more effectively done. Many conversations I have had about sequential church planting with people over many years have led me to this undeniable conclusion. I have found it often-times difficult to engage in much in-depth conversation about the Great Commission church planting movement task because so much of the conversation ends up trying to explain what I don’t mean rather than what I do mean. That is because our vocabulary is so limited, and because one word or term used by those in “missionary” and/or “church work” means different things to different people, often depending on one’s church
denomination, church ethnicity, etc. Energy in the conversation is depleted by constant efforts to clarify. It’s hard to go from point A to point B in a thinking process if point A in itself is hard to agree upon.

So this booklet focuses on the absolute need for a fuller vocabulary if the apostolic task is to be clearly explored, understood and energized in our day in Greater Boston and beyond. Usually glossaries are put in the back of books. But the purpose of this book is a glossary, so let’s look at it up front. Then we’ll briefly ponder the importance of language from various perspectives and disciplines. Starred terms or phrases in the glossary are terms or phrases the author of this book has, as far as I know, originated.

“Language is a meaning-making process,” Michael Halliday said. “Clear language engenders clear thought,” says Mitchell. Proust says “the writer is a man (sic) of intuition,” Yes, but even intuition needs words. We choose the option of an expanded, more exacting vocabulary so we can more precisely understand and state Great Commission components; so we can more precisely communicate with one another, and especially with those others called to the apostolic task, so we can more perceptively and effectively carry out the Great Commission task in our day in Greater Boston.

**So here is a suggested expanded missional glossary.**

Following the glossary I expand on the basic question, “why are clear words needed?”

**Anticipatory genealogical graph** – a graph of sequential church plants and chains of churches anticipated in the near and further future.

**Anticipatory genealogical graph’s horizon** - the distance ahead that can be envisioned for ramified church planting.

**Apostle** - a person who knows well the living Jesus, believes and is committed to His Great Commission and entrepreneurly contributes to initiating and catalyzing a church planting movement or movements, movements that concentrate on the new churches bringing unbelievers to saving faith in the Lord Jesus Christ and congregationalizing them into those emerging churches. The apostles are the force-multipliers of church planting and church planting movements (author’s definition).

**Apostolic task** - the multiplication of churches; awakening the inner church planter.

**Apostolic energy center** – the place where energy for the apostolic task resides.

**Apostolic vocation** - the particular calling and responsibility, given individuals with an experiential faith in the resurrected and living Lord and Savior Jesus Christ, to inspire, implement and guide church multiplication movements.

**Apostolic mental models**

- **Longitudinal model** – The apostolic task carried out in an extending chain of church plants, i.e.
  
  Church A
  Church B
  Church C
  Church D
Nodular model – The apostolic task carried out in an expanding circle of church plants emanating from a single persistently apostolic church, i.e.

Apostolic* – Term that can be used by someone who can’t quite bring himself to say “apostolic.” Like the apostolic. (It took this writer quite a while to say “apostolic” out loud. Not that many years ago the notion of a present day apostolic was far removed from my theological understanding. Making up the word and saying apostolic for a while gave me time to screw up my courage to actually begin to say apostolic) So again, 😊

Ascension gifts congregationalized:
- Congreapostolic* – the whole congregation apostolic
- Congreprophetic* – the whole congregation prophetic
- Congreevangelistic* – the whole congregation evangelistic
- Congrepastoral* – the whole congregation pastoring
- Congrepedagogical* – the whole congregation teaching
- Congrediakional* – the whole congregation serving

Awakening the inner church planter* - the notion that there resides in ordinary Christians an often unrecognized but latent desire to help start new churches. Part of the apostolic task is to awaken and put that interest to work.

Birth biography* – an at least partial history of the conception, gestation and birth of a given new church.

Bricolage church planting* – church planting with exceedingly few resources; putting a new church together using only what is at hand.

Case study – “a careful study of some social unit that attempts to determine what factors led to its success or failure.” (www.thefreedictionary.com/case+study)

Church – the social entity that incarnates the new world God is building

Church-based – the local church as womb or matrix.

Church-based intentional sequential church planting (CBISCP)* - for “church-based,” “intentional,” “sequential,” and “church planting” see terms above and below.

Church planting – the starting of a new church; see congregevangelism.

Church planting’s cultural capital* - the church planting knowledge, experience and expertise one brings to the discourse table and to the church planting task.

Church planting movement – for this paper, an accelerating sequential multiplication of churches and chains of churches. Generally described as “a rapid and multiplicative increase of indigenous church planting churches within a given people group or population segment” www.imb.org/CPM/Glossary.htm. This essay will use the phrase, however, in a broader way, declaring that CPMs can ramify from one
people group to another, even disparate people groups, and from one population segment to very different other population segments.

**Church planting movement center of gravity** - another way of stating where church planting energy dwells.

**Church planting movement genealogical graph** – the graph depicting a church planting movement.

**Church planting movement imperative** – the deontological requirement that, in a world of 7 billion people, in a city where ¼ of the people seldom attend a church of any kind, the Church must do extensive church planting.

**Communities of Practice** - CoPs might be thought of as ministry cyberspace, where dynamic interaction freely and dynamically flows from various components to various components, in purpose of more effective action not just intellectual stimulation. Four CoPs are contemplated by this author for Boston: Apostolic CoP, Prophetic CoP, Evangelistic CoP and Pedagogical CoP.

**Congrevangelization** – bringing people together in Christianly social settings where they will discover the person of the true Jesus; starting new-world congregations (local churches) for the sole or at least primary purpose of evangelizing need-to-be-evangelized populations;

**Congrevangelizer** - a synonym for a church planter who is starting a church or churches for the purpose of bringing to Christian faith people not likely to be brought to faith by already existing churches.

**Congrevangelizing movement** or **congrevangelizational movement** - congrevelzation that has become a church planting movement.

**Core group** – the group of believers that commits itself to work with the designated church planter or planters to start a new church.

**Cumulative case study** – “a case study that aggregates information from several sites collected at different times.” (http://site.answers.com/topic/case-study)

**Daughter church** – the new church that is birthed by a mother church. The graph on which this book is based is matrilineal, the female word daughter (rather than son) is intentionally used because daughters, not sons, have the ability to give birth, then to give birth themselves (they becoming mother churches in turn). The Church is called the Bride, not the groom.

**Denominational or Association of Churches church planting movement** – a church planting movement the boundaries of which is a single denomination or designated association of churches.

**Diakonia** – the life of service in which the church is engaged.

**Dialogue** – “reciprocal conversation between two or more persons.” (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dialogue)

**Discourse** – “extended verbal expression in speech or writing; an extended communication (often interactive) dealing with some particular topic.” (worknet.princeton.edu/perl/webwn)

**Discourse community** – persons interested in, important to, and actively engaged in an on-going discourse.

**Congrevangelizational discourse community** – persons interested in, important to, and actively engaged in congrevangelizational discourse.
Needed discourse community* – those who need to be part of or brought into a particular discourse if the discourse is to be significantly productive.

Current discourse community* – those currently engaged in a particular discourse.

Evangelistically ecumenical – diverse church groups that, however diverse in secondary matters, on primary matters adhere to basic, orthodox Christian beliefs.

Denominationally-accommodating church planting movement* – a church planting movement that, though not initially expecting to expand and ramify along a variety of denominational or church associational lines, accepts and encourages that development once it begins or wants to begin.

Evangelistically ecumenically accommodating genealogical graph* - a graph that is not path-dependent. See Denominationally-accommodating church planting movement above.

Evangelistically ecumenically intentional or coalitional church planting movement* – a church planting movement that from the beginning expects to expand and ramify beyond particular denominational or propinquity lines.

Evangelistically ecumenically-delimited church planting movement* - a church planting movement restricted to a single denomination or specifically designated denominations.

Evangelism – “presenting the gospel in the power of the Holy Spirit, and persuading men (sic) to become Jesus’ disciples and dependable members of his church.” (Donald McGavran) The mission statement of the Graduate School of Christian Fellowship at Harvard University calls for whole life conversion, a wonderful little phrase.11

Fissiparous church* – a church that intentionally and happily divides into two or more churches.

Genealogical graph* – for this paper, a graph that depicts the generational development of multiplying chains of churches.

Evangelistically ecumenical accommodating genealogical graph* - a graph that is not path-dependent. See Denominationally-accommodating church planting movement above.

Filial genealogical graph* – “filial (adjective): designating the generation or the sequence of generations following the parental generation.” “Filiation (noun): inherited properties shared with others of your bloodlines; the kinship relation between an individual and the individuals progenitors.”12 Thus a filial graph is a graph that tracks the “bloodlines,” the “kinship relationships,” the organic filiation (almost a Body of Christ biological filialness) as much or more than the organizational filialness (or even sometimes little or no organizational filialness) of the churches from which the church planters/church planting core groups come. The graph that underlies this essay is a filial genealogical graph.

Historical genealogical graph* – a graph of churches and chains of churches that have come into existence up to the present moment.

Anticipatory genealogical graph* – a graph of sequential church plants and chains of churches anticipated in the near and further future.

Anticipatory genealogical graph’s horizon* - the distance ahead that can be envisioned for ramified church planting.
Historical/anticipatory genealogical graph* – a graph that shows the historical genealogical graph and the anticipatory genealogical graph as a single continuing graph; church planting history flowing into church planting praxis. (*Praxis* is the customary *use* of knowledge or skills, distinct from theoretical knowledge.¹³ The Greek title of the New Testament book of The Acts of the Apostles is *Praxeis Apostolon*).

Consistently apostolic graph* – a genealogical graph that is apostolicly guided from start to finish.

Intermittently apostolic graph* – a genealogical graph that is apostolic at certain points and not apostolic at other points.

Graph sans apostolicity* – a genealogical graph that has no identifiable points of apostolicity at all.

Germinal churches – churches that grow geometrically. (Gailyn Van Rheenen); prolifically autochthonous church planting.

Glocal church – a local church with a global commitment. Glocus et Locus.

House church or simple church – “a group of Christians gathering regularly or spontaneously in a home instead of in a building dedicated to the purpose.” (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/House_church)

Indie church plant* – A church planted apart from any denominational or mission agency connection.

Inner church planter* – the latent longing waiting to be awakened in ordinary believers that they put to work their grace-gifts to fuel church planting movements. The awakening of that inner church planter is part of the apostolic task. “He gave some to be apostles……to prepare God’s people for works of service.” (Eph. 4:11-12)

Intentional church planting* – church planting that has significant familial intentionality in its conception.

Jesus-permeated church* - A local church shot through and through with the person, the personality, the soul of Jesus. It speaks to the inner life of the soul of the congregation. Contra Kingdom-of-God-permeated church.

Kerygma – what the church believes; what the church preaches.

Kingdom-of-God-permeated church*– a local church shot through and through with Kingdom of God¹⁴ realities. Kingdom is a political term; kingdom-permeated speaks to the program of Jesus. This speaks to the outer substance, the outer life, to the public face of the church, what the neighbors and skeptics see. Contra. Jesus-permeated church.

Koinonia – the fellowship the church enjoys; the community that the church is.

Megachurch – a church with 2000 or more worshippers regularly attending church services.

Metastasizing church planting movement* – a church planting movement that has no pre-set intentional boundaries, that ramifies at will, that is expanding rapidly in all directions. pretty much unstoppable.

Missional chagrin – the recognition by the missional community that a missional opportunity has been missed (A memorable phrase the author got from Jeff Bass).¹⁵

Missional church – a church with an organic core value and practice of doing mission(s).
Missiology – an integrated plan for doing mission(s).

Mother church – the church out of which the new church is birthed. The female designation is intentionally used, because the Church is described in the New Testament as the Bride of Christ, and it is the female that gestates and births offspring.

Neighborhood church – a local church focused for ministry purposes, particularly as to its public diakonia and its evangelistic efforts, on the specific neighborhood where it itself lives and worships.

New world – another word for Kingdom-of-God-permeated; the new world on which Jesus fixates; revival in its fullest sense; the world as it will be after Jesus comes back. The local church strives to be that pictograph, that prototype, in this present age.


Organic – inherent to the purposes of a living thing. “Something is organic if it has the ability to process information and to act accordingly.” (Zukav)

Plausibility structure – a term of Peter Berger’s for empirical social communities that incarnate invisible spiritual notions and aspirations (for this paper, the local church).

Pre-church planting movement (Pre-CPM)* – the period of time between the planting of a church or a few churches and the first intentional efforts to ramify those churches into chains of churches and a church planting movement.

Pre-congregational movement or pre-congregationalization movement* (Pre-CM) – similar to Pre-CPM (above).

Ramiferous church* – a church that bears branches, that through intentional church planting happily branches out into a multiplicity of churches.

Redescribe – Seeing and describing something in a new way. A word from Rorty.

Sequential church planting* – churches starting churches starting churches.

Small world theory – the notion that everyone in the world is connected, generally speaking, by only six degrees of separation.

Social network analysis – “the study of social relations among a set of actors.” (http://lrs.ed.uiuc.edu/tse-portal/analysis/sociometricanalysis/#what%20is%20SNA)

Sociology – “the study of the social lives of humans, groups and societies, sometimes defined as the study of social interactions.” (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sociology).

Systems dynamics theory – “a method for understanding the dynamic behavior of complex systems.” (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Systems_theory)

Tasks:

Apostolic task* – expanding the Church; church planting movements, awakening the inner church planter.

Prophetic task* – envisioning the Church, the content, the shape of the Church; a proleptic prophetic, insisting the church bring the eschaton into the present, a right now reality visible to both believers and (critically important) unbelievers, the repertory for doing so the action of Jesus in the Gospels; awoke and satisfying the prophetic imagination; awakening the inner Eutopian impulse, turning the oneiric Eutopian impulse into the doable Eutopian project (the project being the eschaton made present, the Kingdom of God anatomized, in
the local church). "It is given unto YOU to know the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven" Jesus said to his close circle of friends (Matt. 13:11). The word “you” in the verse is emphatic. All Jesus-followers to some degree have that knowing of the mysteries, but some it seems, and perhaps they are the prophets, have it more than others.

**Evangelistic task** – *enlarging the church by conversion growth*: peopling the church by bringing them to personal faith and into the church; awakening the inner desire to know God, winning people to Jesus.

**Pastoral task** – *enfolding, nurturing the church*: sustaining, guiding, reconciling and healing; awakening and satisfying the inner nurturant (the basis of the wildly successful Small Group movement of the last 40 years).

**Pedagogical task** – *educating the church* as to the truthfulness of Christianity, the facticities of the faith, and what Christianity means applied across the whole spectrum of life; putting the sapience back in *Homo sapiens*; awakening and satisfying the inner thirst for understanding, championing the lifelong learner. (Since *pedagogy* etymologically speaks to the education of children [literally: *to lead the child*] there is debate in linguistic circles over whether words like *andrology* or *anthrology* might be more accurate terms to use when speaking of adult education.)

**Diaconal task** – *enabling, serving, servicing the church and the larger society*: awakening and satisfying the inner desire to serve others.

**Revival task** – pursuing the purpose(s) for which God sends revival.

**The missional generation** – what the current generation must be/become if the missiological task is to be carried out in our lifetime.

**Theology** – “the rational and systematic study of religion and its influences and the nature of religious truth.” (worknet.princeton.edu/perl/webwn)

**Translinguistic church planting movement** – a church planting movement that ramifies into two or more linguistic groups.

**Ultra-church planting** – extended church planting; churches planting churches planting churches, etc. As an ultra-marathon is ultra-marathon distance (50 mile or 100 mile races or 12 or 24 hour races, etc.), so ultra-church planting is repeated church planting with long term vision over the long term. Compare Xtreme missions.

**Urban** – “relating to or concerned with a city or densely populated area.” (worknet.princeton.edu/perl/webwn)

**Urban missiology** – the area of practical theology which studies and implements the mandate, message and work of Christian missions in and for an urban context.

**Xtreme missions** – pushing the Body (Body of Christ) to its extreme limits; we’re talking the push needed by the whole Body of Christ if today’s Boston is to become God-filled. (Phrase taken from what are called Xtreme sports. It’s for the hardy.) Compare ultra-church planting.

So the above vocabulary is suggested so we who are called to do the Great Commission in Greater Boston can talk clearly with each other about how we can more successfully do our common task.
Tell me again. Why do we need an expanded glossary?

Language is a tool, “the tool that allows us to communicate outputs of our minds to others.” Daniel Everett also says “It’s the tool to solve the twin problem of communication and social cohesion.” In this Little Book, the cohesion is that of the Body of Christ seeking to do the Great Commission task as a united, collaborative social unit.

“I keep trying to improve my controls over language, so that I won’t have to tell lies;” writes the poet Stanley Kunitz. No missiologist is trying to tell lies. But we do need adequate language to first understand clearly, then explore and discuss the nuances of missiological truth. And it seems to this author we currently do lack adequate language for a truly promising church planting movement discourse. Is the current day missional vocabulary full? It is not. The vocabulary of missions is really rather woolly, sparse, sometimes almost atavistic (relating back to feelings or ideas that people had in the distant past). A meager vocabulary curbs rigorous missiological thinking, for missionaries as well as for lay people (to use two outdated categories). An adequate vocabulary will lead to greater Great Commission fruitfulness. Let’s contemplate further.

We “suspect that no speechless thought can exist” writes Hannah Arendt.

“….Words, which are but Pictures of the Thought, (Though we our Thoughts from them perversely drew.)”

Nietzsche’s child “may have all the advantages of thought with none of the disadvantages of speaking some particular language” - Nietzsche’s superman might get along without any language - but we ordinary mortals must have a specific language if we are to think at all; if we are to think about missions and especially about church planting movements at all. Commonly used missiological terms have suffered diachronic lapse, meaning-drift, can be ambiguous or imprecise, or even, God forbid, prevarications, as Kunitz reminds us. “What one cannot speak of, one must pass over in silence,” Wittgenstein writes. Does a paucity of precise missiological vocabulary result in a kind of missiological quietism, a non-convergent discourse, limiting missiological creativity and missional aggressiveness, and in particular limiting church planting movements in and out from Boston? “Impenetrable in-group vocabularies” we certainly don’t want; we need a clear and adequate church planting movement vocabulary anyone can understand and utilize.

Apparently you can’t create without adequate language to work with. One cannot investigate even superficially the exploding and ramifying disciplines of our day without being absolutely struck by the extent and detail of their emerging, even exploding vocabularies, no matter what the field might be. Even God Himself found it important and essential, apparently, that He Himself develop a vocabulary, that He Himself say, that He Himself name (even naming Himself: “I Am That I Am”, etc.), that He Himself give exact names to emerging realities and facticities: He named
Day, Night, Heaven, Earth, Seas (Gen.1), even before He created Adam. If a tree falls in the forest, and there is no one there to hear it, does the impact make a sound? Did anyone hear the new names God called out as He gave Day its name, Night its name? Did any entity hear the names other than He Himself? (Remember, Adam had not yet been created.) Is there a language for communicating even within the Trinity, even with God Godself? If an Adamic language (?) - as some have wondered - gave/gives birth to all the other 7000 and more languages that exist or have ever existed, is there/was there a pre-Adamic (deistic?) language that generated/informed that Adamic language? (If all this is over-the-top anthropomorphic [and perhaps it is], it seems profoundly theocentrically so.) God named, even before there was any human there to hear the name “called.” Naming is intrinsic to the creative task. God created/named Day, Night, Heaven, Earth, Seas, then God created Adam and seemingly simultaneously named Adam (Gen. 5:20), and a first task he gave to Adam (Adam’s first God-ordained assignment) was that Adam himself start naming. Adam himself, because he was created in the image of God, must himself (as Imago Deo) begin to name. Naming is thearchic, divinely sovereign and supreme, intrinsic to the creative process. “Creating itself is inseparable from naming” said Walter Benjamin. Until a reality is named, in some sense it doesn’t yet or even exist. Naming is the work that must be done before the work can be done. There’s no creating without naming. (Bear with me as I seek to make the case: a more adequate apostolic vocabulary must be developed if creative, ever expanding apostolic work is to be done in Boston and beyond.)

As stated, nothing is knowable, essence is unknowable, apart from its name. God even named Himself (“I Am That I Am” and dozens of other names) that humankind might be able to in some fashion “know” Him. Again, that is why, as stated above, a first God-ordained task given Adam was for Adam to the name the animals. Adam couldn’t even know who he was until he had named the animals. In naming the animals he saw that animals were Other - Other than himself - Other than what he was. By naming the animals he differentiated animal species from animal species: whales from mockingbirds or weasels from whippoorwills, but more importantly he differentiated all animal species from himself, from his species, from his humanity. Until Adam had put a name on the animals he could not understand his own unique humanity and his own need for uniquely human companionship.

And that explains, of course, why Adam’s first task, upon seeing Eve and saying Wow!, was to give her a name, a name that clearly differentiated her from the animals: that clearly stated that, though she was figurally Other, she was of his own same essence, that she (uniquely – unlike the animals) was taken out of Adam’s own humanity (his rib), while the animals had their source outside of humanity. Adam, in naming her “Woman” (Isha, “because she was taken out of man) named her humanity. Naming precedes and enables understanding; without names there is no understanding.

When a baby is born in an American hospital the first thing done by the attendants is to put a wristband on the baby’s wrist with the mother’s surname on it. By naming the baby the baby is differentiated from every other baby in the hospital: if the baby is
Smith that means it is not Jones, or Hernandez, or Goldman, or Sok. The baby gets its
name even before it gets its mother’s milk. And the mother gives the baby a first name
within hours of the birth, as the law and birth certificate requires the baby be named at
the very outset of life, before leaving the hospital.

Redeeming necessitates naming, too. At the moment of redemption God names the
redeemed individual again. He creates that new woman/that new man and at the time
of that woman’s/man’s conversion/creation, God gives to that new woman a new name.

There’s a new name written down in glory,
and it’s mine, all mine.

“He calleth his own sheep by name.”

Creativity in missions is impossible without adequately naming missiological
components, missiological possibilities. And we need to do that naming together. This
Little Book perhaps can encourage expanding naming; language “is essentially
interpersonal, or to be more specific, essentially an activity of creating and maintaining
forms of commonality among persons. Interpersonal commonality is not just what
language is for; it is in language, and in it more than anything else.”

Naming is the work that must be done before the work can be done. Missiology needs
more precise naming if better mission work is to be done in Boston. It is, obviously, not
being suggested that missiological terms in current use are inadequate in and of
themselves, but rather that the current missional lexicon is too limited, that it must be
enlarged, a more exacting, analytical taxonomy developed. “It is not our soul but our
mind that demands speech” Hannah Arendt writes. If mission were the work of the
soul only, perhaps we have an adequate vocabulary. And in some sense we have
perhaps almost bought into the idea that mission is only the work of the soul,
particularly the missionary’s soul. But mission is not just the work of the soul; it is the
work of the mind, and for that yesterday’s vocabulary is inadequate for today’s
missional challenges and opportunities. Missional complexities and nuances in today’s
world, on which mission success will rise or fall, will only be recognized as they are
named. Current dissensus may be the result of fuzzy thinking more than of anything
else. New terms are new tools. “Words create and make alive,” writes Bryan Stone. He
goes on to quote John Howard Yoder: “It is significant anthropological insight to say
that language can steer the community with a power disproportionate to other kinds of
leadership. The demagogue, the poet, also the journalist, the novelist, the grammarian,
all are engaged in steering society with the rudder of language. This applies to rhetoric
as a skill and also to the place of any set of concepts in predisposing what kinds of
thoughts the members of a given community are capable of having.”

The development of any discipline is always accompanied by the development of its
vocabulary. Dynamic disciplines develop their lexicons as they forge ahead. Examples:
Ray Oldenburg, a sociologist who works with community and urban planners and
churches, bemoaning the fact that the English language lacks adequate language for
Rorty, speaking of our forebearers, says of Galileo, of Yeats, of Hegel, “he (Galileo, Yeats, Hegel) was typically unable to make clear exactly what it is that he wants to do before developing the language in which he succeeds in doing it. His new vocabulary makes possible, for the first time, a formulation of its own purpose. It is a tool for doing something which could not have been envisaged prior to the development of a particular set of descriptions, those which it itself helps to provide.” Rorty asks us in our day “Is the language we are presently using the ‘right’ language – is it adequate to its task as a medium of expression or representation?” This paper asks that question, not of sociology or of science or of poetry or of philosophy but of the missiological enterprise. Is our current missional vocabulary adequate to the task before us? This paper says “no.” “Thicker” words, a more clear-cut terminology is needed, and, provided, will spawn more lucid missiological thought, will generate new missiological possibilities, and subsequently will result in more enthusiastic, effective practice.

New church planting movements will be updated vocabularies incarnated.

And clearly a more adequate vocabulary will make for clearer writing, writing itself indispensable to the clear thinking process. “The greatest worth of writing (is) incidentally a way of telling others what you think. Its first use is for the making of what you think, for the discovery of understanding, an act that happens only in language…..In writing (we) discover thought and make knowledge” say Mitchell. “Clear language engenders clear thought,” he says, and goes on to say “the words we write demand far more attention than those we speak. The habit of writing exposes us to that demand, and skill in writing makes us able to pay logical and thoughtful attention.” Henri Nouwen says somewhere “(someone) has to write to discover what he thinks.” Maybe even this Little Book will inspire someone who hadn’t thought about it to start writing him/herself about church planting and church planting movements in Boston, and thus add further clarity, wisdom and group energy to The Task.

**So to sum up**

Enhanced mission thinking/enhanced mission learning and strategizing/enhanced mission collaborating resulting in enhanced mission fruitfulness: the author’s hope is this Little Book will contribute to that effort. The task cannot be done in the 21st century without adequate vocabulary. We need to think real clearly these days if we are to successfully do the Great Commission these days. Mission think ⇥ mission speak ⇥ mission do. Hopefully this Little Book, used in conjunction with the other Little Books, and especially with the Let’s Do It Little Book, will further church planting movement fruitfulness in Greater Boston now and in the near future.
Exploring Your Own Mission-Speak

Good questions for you to ask yourself, posed by Michele Mitsumori, Director of Administration of the Emmanuel Gospel Center, Boston.

1) What are the top 3 to 5 terms or concepts that I use the most? How might I define them? Have one brief definition, of one or two sentences, and one more extended definition,

*Follow-up activity*: Ask two or three people how they might define some of these terms. To what extent do their definitions overlap with yours? To what extent are they different? What reasons might account for these similarities and differences?

2) Ralph has a simple drawing that illustrates what a "nodular model" is. What impact, positive or negative, does the visual help in communicating your idea?

*Follow-up activity*: Find willing volunteers. For volunteer A, explain your idea just with words. For volunteer B, explain your idea with the sketch. Ask for feedback. How does the visual help in better communicating your idea?

3) Ralph begins his book with a glossary - which is commonly put at the end of a book - because he feels that "adequate language is essential for the clear thinking [that] church planting movements require."

a) Do you agree that church planting movements require "clear thinking"? What might "clear thinking" look like?

b) Why might Ralph believe that clear thinking requires adequate language? What is the connection between language and thinking?
4) **Review the words in Ralph's glossary.** What are two or three terms that jump out at you? Why? To what extent do they reflect your own experiences? How might you define or revise these terms in your own words?
   a) **If you speak other languages,** how might these terms be translated into these languages? What changes?

5) **What are 3 words that seem to be the most controversial in your ministry?**
   Why?
   a) Collect at least two definitions that represent different perspectives in your community. Where is the common ground? Where do they diverge?
   b) Research the stories behind the definitions. What life experiences do they reflect, of individuals, of groups? What is the story behind your definitions?
Each number represents a single local church when planted.

Causal arrow – direct connection between mother church and filial or daughter church.

Line – indirect connection; not a mother/daughter church connection per se, but a critically significant connection nonetheless.

Fig. 1

Copyright Ralph A. Kee
**ENDNOTES**

1. If Peter 3: “The Lord is. . . not willing that any should perish, but that all should come to repentance.” KJV.

2. Let’s Do It is on line at [www.egc.org](http://www.egc.org) Click on church planting

3. Birth biographies of the churches in the graph are available. Each church plant needs to be carefully understood as to what perhaps it is and what it isn’t as far as being a legitimate part of “the movement.” Is it legitimate that that church is in the graph? Things can get controversial. That’s one reason why clear and even nuanced vocabulary becomes important. Not to argue but to understand.

4. So why put out these Little Books now? Why not wait until the Big Book is done then put that out as a single volume? Two reasons: 1) now is the time to do energetic church planting in Boston, so if these “chapters” are already written and might be helpful to the overall task right now, let’s make them available right now for whoever might find them useful to the task. 2) Being Little Books they are cheap to publish, cheap enough so in many cases they can be made available free of charge to truly interested people who are now doing or want to do Boston church planting movements.

5. The several “Little Books” I have written are at least partly an effort on my part to write a constructive missional theology around the 5 plus 1 ascension gifts, to guide my own accelerated efforts in 2012 to carry out my own personal missionary task in Boston. As stated above, part of that effort requires a clearer missional vocabulary and this particular Little Book is about linguistic needs so I can think more clearly and so others can do likewise.

6. Perhaps there’s a theological component to this concern for adequate language too. Maybe it’s not too much to say I am trying to build in my own mind a constructive missional theology, for my own self at least, around the Great Commission and the enabling often-called ascension/tasks/gifts, plus what I call a post-ascension task/gift, all six needed so the Great Commission can be done. (The apostolic, prophetic, evangelistic, pastoral, pedagogical - Ephesus 4:11, then the diaconal – Acts 6:1-6). To do the Great Commission in the 21st century requires an adequate missional lexicon in the 21st century language we think in and speak. Is Hauerwas correct when he writes “the task of theology can never come to an end”? His sentence before that, in his memoir, states that “…a claim ‘Jesus is Lord’ requires constant variations to be said rightly.” Even Satan can say that “Jesus is Lord” because He really is. But to say Jesus is my Lord means to love and obey Him in every circumstance. (Stanley Hauerwas, Hannah’s Child: A Theologian’s Memoir, William B. Eerdmans, 2010, p. 59).

   “Jesus is Lord” as a testimonial statement uttered by a believer has meaning only as pertaining to the given human context of the moment – guess probably that is true. Claiming Jesus to be Lord as to the Great Commission mandate for Boston in 2012 and the 20teens has real meaning, truthfully perhaps, only in the context of Boston missional realities in 2012 and the 20teens. The need for clearer and more timely theological/missiological action emanating from a clearer missiological/theological understanding is, it seems, undeniable. A more adequate missional language is needed so expanded Great Commission productivity will result.


8. A 40 year church planting history, pictured in a genealogical graph of some 40 years duration, underlies this Little Book and much of its suggestion vocabulary. For that reason the genealogical graph is appended. Birth biographies of the 285 or so churches on the graph are written out elsewhere.

9. Gehring speaks of “center mission: the term implies a series of young congregations networked with and equal to one another in the (capital) cities, that is, centers, which then became bases of operation for the Pauline mission. They formed these bases by sending workers to Paul to help with missional outreach for a limited time. ‘Center mission’ is the opposite of the centrally organized mission of the Antioch church. There the base of operation was one single congregation, which, as the ‘mother church,’ sent out traveling missionaries on mission journeys, who went from location to location as itinerant preachers, staying for a brief time at each and then finally returning to the mother church. The innovative elements of the Pauline model, according to Ollrog (W.H.Ollrog, Paulus und seine Mitarbiter, WMANT 50, Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener, 1979, 126) are they turning away from the traveling-missionary or mission journey approach and to the formation of ‘center missions’; the
development of a system of coworkers the self-understanding of the Pauline churches as independent missional congregations.” Roger W. Gehring, House Church and Mission, Hendrickson, 2004, p. 181.

Path dependent: institutions are self-reinforcing. En.wikipedia.org/wiki/Path_dependency. Accessed April 10, 2007. An evangelically ecumenically accommodating graph unfolds comfortably from one institutionally dominant ecclesiology or adjudicatory to another, capitalizing on contingency to further evangelically ecumenical rather than denominational goals.

E-mail to author from InterVarsity Christian Fellowship staff member Jeff Barneson, May 24, 2007.


For a helpful overview of the difficulties of choosing the best terminology to use in naming the reality this article names the Kingdom of God, see Bryan Stone, Evangelism after Christendom, BrazosPress 2007, p. 76 – 77

Thank Jeff Bass, Executive Director of the Emmanuel Gospel Center in Boston, for this one (April 11, 2007).

Revival of course connotes bringing the old back to life. For this essay, the planting of Kingdom-permeated churches is done not so much to bring back the old as bring in the new. The essay uses the word revival partly because there is much prayer, talk, hope and even evidence of revival in Boston at the present time: revival is the word that is being broadly used by the general evangelical public and so this essay uses it too.

Gary Zukav, The Dancing Wu Li Masters: An Overview of the New Physics, Perennial Classics, 1979 p. 70.


The first five tasks listed here are the tasks performed by those given one (or more) the five ascension gifts, named in Eph. 4:8, 11. The sixth task, the diaconial task, was instituted to meet an emerged need in the embryonic church. The six tasks together cover all the needs of a living humanity: reproduction, purpose, healthy heart, soul, mind and body. Everything is essential, and everything is covered. Speculation: could the first three tasks listed reflect the “masculine” aspects of God, and the last three tasks the “feminine” aspects of God. (We [Gen. 5:2 calls both the man and the woman by the one name of Adam: “He called their name Adam”] could be created in God’s image as male and female because both gender characteristics – though that may be a funny way to put it - are in some sense found within the essence of God, God-self.) The first three tasks are aggressive tasks, confrontational tasks, outward-looking tasks, outside-the-home tasks - the apostolic: working on the frontier; the prophetic: confronting the evils of society; the evangelistic: “going out into the highways and hedges and compelling them to come in (Luke 14:23). The last three tasks are within-the-home tasks, inward-looking tasks, community development tasks, tasks that tend and nurture the household of God - the pastoral: nurturing, shepherding the congregation; the pedagogical: teaching the children of God; the diaconal: serving the people of God and others. Let’s illustrate. Stackhouse speaks of pastoral and prophetic ministries as looking in opposite directions: critical of liberation theology, he nonetheless acknowledges the good pastoral ministry liberation clergy provide their poor, even while stating their ministry is “not in the least prophetic.” Max L. Stackhouse, Globalization and Grace, Continuum, 2007, p. 31.

Repertory: the entire range of skills or aptitudes or devices used in a particular field or occupation.

Onelook Dictionary Search.


Eutopia means “beautiful place.” Utopia means “no place,” so is perhaps a pun Moore devised from the word Eutopia. (Eutopia is to be contrasted, as the reader knows, with Dystopia, which means “bad place.”) Wayne A. Rebhorn, Introduction, Sir Thomas More, Utopia, Barnes and Noble Classics, 2005, p. xxviii, xxxii. This essay is of course thinking of prophecy in its most general and perhaps modern sense: its focus and fierce insistence that the moral nature of Jesus be replicated in the Christian or Jesus community. “Prophet: c. 1175, from O.Fr. prophete (11c.), from L. prophetae, from Gk. prophetes (Doric prophata) ‘an interpreter, spokesman,’ especially of the gods, from pro- ‘before’

23 Oneiric: of or relating to or suggestive of dreams. (Onelook Dictionary Search


25 The New International Version and some other versions translates Mark 16:15 *preach the gospel to all creation*, opening up the intriguing (and most timely) thought that perhaps the pastoral task includes nurturing, shepherding and husbanding, not only people but also the *whole created order*: that the pastoral task includes the care of the earth as well as its people. Remember, livestock were to keep the Sabbath too (Exod. 20:8-11).

26 Jeong-Hee Kim explains *facticities*: “According to Denzin (1989 edited by Sparkes 1990), *facts* refer to events that are believed to have occurred, and *facticities* describe how those were lived and experienced by the informants,” a critically important distinction, it seems to this author, for the cause of Christian education.

27 Sapience: ability to apply knowledge or experience or understanding or common sense and insight. Onelook Dictionary Search.

28 Fuller Kingdom-permeation of the Church is the purpose of and proof of revival. Spiritually revived individuals will of course manifest often differently and specifically one from the other. Gary Thomas demarcates nine different ways: 1) the naturalist who loves God out-of-doors; 2) the sensate who loves God with the senses; 3) the traditionalist who loves God through ritual and symbol; 4) the ascetic who loves God in solitude and simplicity; 5) the activist who loves God through confrontation; 6) the caregiver who loves God by loving others; 7) the enthusiast who loves God with mystery; 8) the contemplative who loves God though adoration, 9) the intellectual who loves God with the mind (Gary Thomas, *Sacred Pathways: Discover Your Soul’s Path to God*, Thomas Nelson, 1996). Revival will further heighten and satisfy the draw of these several stimuli according to the draw each stimuli has for specific Christians. A true revival will insure that all of these stimuli are within the easy reach of everyone in a revived Church. Thomas’ notions are reiterated in this book in chapter 4. Needless to say, the particular, indeed single, emphasis of this paper is that a major inexorable result of genuine revival will be rapidly multiplying church planting movements. “…..the moods and imperatives of great mass movements are far stronger and more important than the individuals involved in them.” Eric Sevareid, quoted in *Two Lives: Gertude and Alice*, Janet Malcolm, Yale University Press 2007, p. 104.


31 “Full” in this sense is a word used by Paul MacInnes: “Does anyone stop to ask whether…..the English language is full?” Quoted by Alex Beam, Boston Sunday Globe, Sept. 23, 2008, p. E1.


33 Though it would be unfair, extremist, to label missiology in America *pathological*, we do have to admit that a missiology that for decades has not increased percentage-wise the Christian population of America must be a weak missiology. This author, a paid missionary, stands as convicted as anyone. Jede dumpfe Umkehr der Welt hat solche Entertbe, denen das Fruhere nicht und noch nicht das Nachste gehört. [Each torpid turn of the world has such disinherited children, to whom no longer what’s been, and not yet what’s coming, belongs.] Rilke, quoted by Erich Heller, *The Disinherited Mind*, Harcourt, Brace Jovanovich, 1975, forepage. Our missiological challenge is to develop some way of persuading our “disinherited” generation that has no sense of the Christian story and the Christian hope to consider Jesus and become his followers. We must do a better job than we are doing now.

34 Hannah Arendt, *The Life of the Mind*, Harcourt Inc., 1971, 1978, 1977, p. 100. “Thoughts do not have to be communicated in order to occur, but they cannot occur without being spoken – silently or sounding out in dialogue, as the case may be.” Ibid, p. 99. The dialogue may be with oneself, or with others, but there must be a dialogue.
Now adm...er to involve the changes that take place in something over a period of time, especially in changes in a language.

“A term is ambiguous if it conveys a plurality of senses. Every term is ambiguous in isolation, but normally ambiguity is resolved in context.” (Albert Borgman, Technology and the Character of Contemporary Life; A Philosophical Inquiry, University of Chicago Press, 1984 p. 54.) But in the mission enterprise, even context sometimes doesn’t adequately define ambiguous words. For example the word “missionary” itself, to use a glaring example, has in recent decades been so corroded, so gutted of its historically specific job description as to now be almost completely useless. The word is used for a surgeon doing surgery in a secular hospital in the Dominican Republic, a house parent in a children’s home in Indonesia, an American carpenter from Atlanta building a health clinic in Haiti, a 12 year old spending a week with other American 12 year olds in Honduras doing puppetry, an athlete playing basketball in Japan, a professor in a theological school in Kenya, a Bible translator in India, an American pastor pastoring a church for a summer in Germany, a prayer walker in Fiji. The only thing they have in common is they are all American Christians representing Jesus in some fashion outside the US. The word missionary has come to mean so many things it now means nothing.

William J. Cook makes this same point as to the use of the word “strategy,” his field of inquiry. He begins chapter one of his Stratagems: The Art and Science of Holistic Strategy, Quorum Books 2000 with these words, “When a word enters the popular culture, quite often its original meaning is eroded, its definition obscured, and its whole concept lost. This is what has happened to the word ‘strategy.’” And, like so, it has happened to the word “missionary.”

Now admittedly I still use the word “missionary” myself rather extensively in this essay – I hate to drop the word altogether, and often introduce myself to people I am meeting for the first time as a “missionary to Boston.” I hope discussing the term in this paper helps someone to reinstate the word to its historically specific meaning or haecceity. As Davidson puts it in Inquiries into Truth and Interpretation, “speaking a language…..is not a trait a man can lose while retaining the power of thought. So there is no chance that someone can take up a vantage point for comparing conceptual schemes by temporarily shedding his own.” Richard Rorty, Contingency, irony, and solidarity, Cambridge University Press, 1989, p. 50.

Prevarication: the deliberate act of deviating from the truth.

St. John of the Cross wrote “It is just as if somebody were to see something he has never seen before and the like of which he has never seen…..Despite all efforts he would not be able to give it a name nor to say what it is, even though he perceived it with his senses. How much less will he then be able to speak about a thing he has not received with his senses?” Fanny Howe, Indivisible, Semiotext 2000, p. 218.


Saying is part of creating: “And God said….,” “and God said…..” “and God said…..” etc. Genesis 1:3, 6, 9, 11, 14, 20, 24, 26. Saying (as distinct from naming) as part of creating impressed on this author by a sermon by Gordon MacDonald, Senior Co-pastor at Centerpoint, Concord NH on Feb. 11, 2007.

Facticity - Jeong-Hee Kim explains facticities: “According to Denzin (1989 edited by Sparkes 1990), facts refer to events that are believed to have occurred, and facticities describe how those were lived and experienced by the informants,” a critically important distinction, it seems to this author, for the cause of doing Christian ministry, not just understanding it.

Brock and Parker suggest “the formulaic endings of divine delight after each day lend themselves to oral recitation. Alternate translations for ‘it was good’ include it was delightful, it was blessed, and it was beautiful.” Rita Nakashima Brock and Rebecca Ann Parker, Saving Paradise: How Christianity Traded Love of This World for Crucifixion and Empire, Beacon Press, 2008, p. 14.

The archic – divinely sovereign or supreme

Quoted by Adam Kirsch in The Philosopher Stoned, The New Yorker, Aug. 21, 2006, p. 84.

“God…created one single man, not, of course, that he was to be deprived of all human society, but rather that in this way the unity of society and the bond of concord might be more strongly commended to him if they were joined together, not only through a likeness of nature, but also by a family affection.” Quoted from St. Augustine, City of God, Image Books, 1958, p. 25.

St. John 10:3 KJV.


See glossary at the beginning of the essay.


As differentiated from the “thin words” that now rule church planting discourse, to use Rorty’s taxonomy. Rorty, ibid. p. 73.

Having said all this, we nonetheless know there is more to congrevangelizational movement discourse than having a well-equipped missiolingual tool box. “However fully developed our nomenclature is, we must remember that it is only a nomenclature; that a word is merely a sign of syllables attached to a certain phenomenon. Thus it can never express Nature completely and ought to be regarded as mere equipment for our comfort,” as Goethe puts it in his History of the Theory of Colors. (Erich Heller, The Disinherited Mind, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1975, p. 26). We can never understand congrevangelizational movements completely; it is more than a human effort; it is more than the sum of its parts. Behind a language, even a surgically sharp language, affecting congrevangelizational movements, there still stands mystery, Nature (in Goethe’s word) if you will. Missionaries can understand God’s work only up to a point. Pneumatology is in the gestalt too (the whole is greater than its parts); if there is a congrevangelizational movement at all. God’s work is always God’s work.


This graph is presented as a case study, a study visualizing a modest church planting movement in Boston MA, data noted and recorded when possible as the church was being started, from 1971 to the present. The intention is that this genealogical church planting graph will help us understand the etiology (the study of causation) of US urban church planting, to inform, encourage and energize church planting movements in and out of Greater Boston. This graph is a denominationally-initiated, endogenous (derived or originating internally), denominationally-accommodating, intermittently-apostolic metastasizing church multiplication genealogical graph dating from 1971. Birth biographies of these 288 churches are found in Appendix A.

Each number represents a single local church when planted. Causal arrow – direct connection between mother church and filial or daughter church. Line – indirect connection, not a mother/daughter church connection per se, but a critically significant connection nonetheless.
Because this Little Book is shorter than the other Little Books in this Little Book Series, I am attaching my overall bibliography to this Little Book, even though most of the books named probably connect more to another Little Book than to this one.

# Bibliography

Books and web sites I have found most helpful as to church planting/apostolic aspirations and effectiveness overall in Greater Boston and beyond.

**Books about Boston** from Boston’s beginnings until yesterday; books I have found interesting and helpful

- Howe, Fanny. *The Wedding Dress: Meditations on Word and Life*, Univ. of California Press 2003. *Old Money Beacon Hill daughter – turned hippie idealist and struggler living out her radical political notions of justice and equality while raising her three inter-racial children in Boston’s inner city neighborhood of Jamaica Plain in the 1970s (that’s part one of the book) – converted to Roman Catholicism, resulting in wonderfully soul-expanding, soul-lifting jottings reminiscent of Dag Hammarskjöld’s *Markings* (that’s part two of the book).*


Marquand, John P. *The Late George Apley*, Back Bay Books/Little, Brown and Co. 1936. *A novel of the South End and the Back Bay in the Gilded Age. “Apley is echt Boston Brahmin”, writes Sam Allis in the Globe, and the novel “offers the definitive look at high WASP in decline.”*


------------------


------------------


Russell, Francis. *A City in Terror: Calvin Coolidge and the 1919 Boston Police Strike*, Beacon Press 1975. “*The Boston Police Strike would come to be seen to many (across America) as the climax to a year of (national) violence.*”
Rybczynski, Witold. A Clearing in the Distance: Frederick Law Olmstead and the America of the Nineteenth Century, Scribner 1999. Boston’s landscape would be quite different if Olmstead had never lived. Where is he now that the Rose Kennedy Greenway needs his input?


Small, Mario Luis. Villa Victoria: The Transformation of Social Capital in a Boston Barrio, University of Chicago Press, 2004. The story of Villa Victoria in the South End “focused exclusively on the relationship between concentrated poverty and social capital; the mechanisms by which neighborhood poverty in Villa Victoria affected local community participation and the residents’ social ties to the middle class and to one another, paying special attention to the culture, agency, and variation in responses to poverty.” Chapter two is especially good at giving a history of the South End.


Worthy, William. The Rape of Our Neighborhoods and How Communities are Resisting Takeovers by Colleges, Hospitals, Churches, Businesses, and Public Agencies, William Morrow and Co. 1976. Land struggles with Columbia Point, Logan Airport, University Hospital, Christian Science Center, all in Boston. Outdated now by 40 plus years, but does illustrate the kinds of conflicts between urban neighborhoods and nearby institutions that continue to crop up.

Books about Cities


Kunstler, James Howard. The Geography of Nowhere: The Rise and Decline of America’s Man-made Landscape, Simon and Schuster 1993. If you love the walking city and hate the automobile-crazed suburbs, this one’s for you.


The Urban Prospect, Lewis Mumford, Harcourt Brace Jovanich 1956. You haven’t studied cities if you haven’t read Mumford.
White, Morton and Lucia. *The Intellectual Versus the City: From Thomas Jefferson to Frank Lloyd Wright*, Oxford University Press 1962, 1977. *American intellectual history has been anti-urban from the get-go (at least until recently).

Williams, Raymond. *The Country and the City*, Oxford University Press 1973 *Fascinating study of how English literature over the centuries has viewed the country and the city.*

**Books/video about Renewal, Reurbanization, Gentrification, other Urban Concerns**

Bluestone, Barry and Stevenson, Mary Huff. *The Boston Renaissance: Race, Space and Economic Change in an American Metropolis*, Russell Sage Foundation 2000. *As recently as 1982 Boston was at the bottom of the heap, ranked below Detroit, Gary, Newark, Miami, and Oakland as a distressed city. Now Boston is at the top of the heap as a desirable place to live.*


Venkatesh, Sudhir Alladi, *Off the Books: The Underground Economy of the Urban Poor*, Harvard University Press, 2006. *Based on studies in Chicago’s Southside, a look at the” unregulated, unreported, and untaxed work, a system of living off the books that is daily life in the ghetto.” *

Video: *Flag Wars; a documentary by Linda Goode Bryant and Laura Poitras that “explores the pain and politics of gentrification, taking a stark look inside the conflicts that surface when black working families are faced with an influx of white gay homebuyers in their Columbus, Ohio neighborhood.”* Zula Pearl Films, 215 W 90th St., 12-C, New York NY 10024. *flagwars@zulapearlfilms.com*

**History of Christian spirituality in Boston area**

Barry, John M., Roger Williams and the Creation of the American Soul: Church, State and the Birth of Liberty, Viking 2012


Books and Essays about Urban Church Planting and Related Concerns


Drucker, Peter, The Effective Executive Pan Books 1967. Must absolutely be read at least once a year for the rest of your church-planting life.


Eckhardt, John, Moving in the Apostolic: God’s plan to lead His Church to the final victory. Renew 1999. “God has begun reinstating the gift and the office of apostle in the 1990s. This may sound at first like a simple statement, but its implications are profound. The Body of Christ is in a substantially more complete form than it has been, perhaps since New Testament times. This means that God is now prepared to do things through His people here on earth that he has been postponing for a very long time,” Eckhardt writes in the very first lines of his foreword. What Eckhardt states is of course the contention of these Little Books.

Ellis, Roger & Mitchell, Roger, Radical Church Planting, Crossway Books 1992. Insightful. The British seem to have a lot to offer America in the area of urban church planting.


Francis, Hozell C. Church Planting in the African-American Context, Zondervan 1999


Hirst, Alan, The Forgotten Ways: reactivating the missional church, Brazos Press 2006 Essential reading. Emphasizes some of the things these Little Books seek to emphasize.

Huckins, Jon with Rob Yackley, Thin Places: 6 postures for creating & practicing missional community. The House Studio, 2012. NieuCommunity expectations and practices: “Live within a ten-minute walking distance from each other, regularly share common meals and everyday life, regularly gather for worship, be committed to live on mission in the local halfway homes, community centers, sports fields, farmers’ markets, refugee populations, regularly participate in intentional times of mentoring and coaching”.


--- Awakening Your Inner Church Planter – then putting it to work. 2011
--- The Prophetic Task. Original sin led to four original schisms. The prophetic task?
   Reconnect what was disconnected. 2012
--- Toward a More Adequate Mission-Speak – language tools to help us think more clearly so we can better do the apostolic task in Greater Boston. 2012

Lohfink, Gerhard, Does God Need the Church?: Toward a Theology of the People of God. The Liturgical Press 1998


--------- Post-Christendom: Church and Mission in a Strange New World, Paternoster 2004, especially chapter 8, Post-Christendom: Mission

Newbigin, Lesslie, Foolishness to the Greeks: The Gospel and Western Culture. Eerdmans 1996. Newbigin is always on target

Paulson, Michael, “A Place to Pray - in a Dorchester chop shop a matriarch and a missionary found a church.” Announced on Saturday’s Boston Globe front page, a four-days-in-a-row Boston Globe front page story, Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday Dec. 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 2007. about the starting of Quincy Street Missional Church in Dorchester, a neighborhood of Boston.

Huang, Carol, “The New Monastics” The Christian Science Monitor did a full page “Backstory” article about the youth work element of the same neighborhood missional effort that Michael Paulson wrote about as described above. Christian Science Monitor, March 10, 2009.


Stetzer, Ed. Planting New Churches in a Postmodern Age, Broadman and Holman 2003.

Regele, Mike. Robust Church Development: a vision for mobilizing regional bodies in support of missional congregations, Percept Group Inc., 2000. As Mark Twain put it, 'the news of my death has been highly exaggerated'. The day of the denomination is not over. The Percept Group helps denominations start new churches.

Van Engen, Charles and Tiersma, Jude, editors. God So Loves the City: Seeking a Theology for Urban Mission, MARC 1994. Perspectives from different cities on different continents, including from Boston’s Left Bank, the People’s Republic of Cambridge.

Vanier, Jean, Community and Growth: Our Pilgrimage Together Paulist Press 1979. Indispensable reading. One of the dozen I value the most.


Urban Living

**African-American/White**


Blackman, Douglas, *Slavery By Another Name: The Re-Enslavement of Black People in America from the Civil War to World War II*, Doubleday 2008

**Genealogical maps, networks, systems**


Hall, Douglas A. with Judy Hall and Steve Damon, *The Cat and the Toaster: Living System Ministry in a Technological Age*, WIPF & Stock 2010. *Christianity wouldn’t be what it is today in Boston apart from Doug and Judy*

**State of the Church in America**


**Books I have found helpful as to the prophetic and pedagogical elements of the apostolic task.** Issues are out there that are greatly impacting Christian faith and character. The church planter must face up to and try to understand these issues and build the new church accordingly. Only the word spoken and the word done constitute the Word.

**Technology and faith issues**


Are notions of human brain neuroplasticity and unchanging Christian beliefs compatible?
Chris Carroll, *Us. And them.* Robots are being created that can think, act, and relate to humans. Are we ready? Photographs by Max Aquilera-Wellweg. National Geographic magazine, August 2011. *If a magazine like National Geographic has articles like this, we know that singularity can’t be far away.*


Kelly, Kevin, *What Technology Wants,* Viking 2010


Turkle, Sherry, *Alone Together: why we expect more from technology and less from each other,* Basic Books 2011.

**Physics and faith issues**


**Bioethics and faith issues**


**Mind/Brain/Rationality and faith issues**

Comings, David, *Did Man Create God?: Is Your Spiritual Brain at Peace with Your Thinking Brain?* Hope Press 2007


**War and violence**


Dover, John W., *Cultures of War: Pearl Harbor, Hiroshmina, 9-11, Iraq,* Norton 2010


Marlantes, Karl, What It is Like to Go to War, Atlantic Monthly Press 2011. A reflective Vietnam War veteran lays it out for us, “I wrote this book primarily to come to terms with my own experience of combat.”
Richardson, Louise, What Terrorists Want: understanding the enemy, containing the threat, Random House 2006. A must read.

Cultural issues

Web sites
Sites for church planters
Thanks to Paul Bothwell for this
Researching your demographics
http://www.cityofboston.gov/bra
http://factfinder.census.gov
http://www/state/ma.us/cc
http://www/umass.edu/miser www.tbf.org/
www.tbf.org/indicators/
www.Link2Lead.com
Hhttp://venus.census.gov/edrom/lookup
www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/dp1/2kh25pdf
Thanks to Rudy Mitchell for this one
Blurbs for back cover

“Ralph Kee’s “little book” Toward a More Adequate Mission-speak is a big addition to the strategic thinking that needs to go on among the current generations who say they are desirous of fulfilling the Great Commission. Ralph combines Biblical insight with best practices in creative and critical thinking, not to mention a good awareness of the linguistic turn of modern Philosophy, to stretch our minds and hearts when it comes to evangelism, missions, and a church planting movement. His little formula “Mission think….mission speak….mission do” is sound educationally and Biblically. Just reading this little book gets this leader to look at the familiar commission in new ways. And all of this from an urban Church planter!”

Noel Sherry
Center Director
Bethel Seminary of the East